

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Legal Counsel

Rule Fact Sheet

September 14, 2011

ANTIDEGRADATION STANDARDS AND IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES

LSA Document #08-764

Overview

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) has developed draft rule language concerning antidegradation standards and implementation procedures. This draft rule is to be presented to the Water Pollution Control Board (board) on September 14, 2011, for consideration of preliminary adoption.

Citations Affected

This rulemaking adds 327 IAC 2-1.3, amends 327 IAC 2-1.5-6, 327 IAC 2-1.5-18, 327 IAC 5-2-11.2, 327 IAC 5-2-12.1, 327 IAC 5-3-8, and 327 IAC 15-2-6, and repeals 327 IAC 2-1.5-4, 327 IAC 5-2-11.3, and 327 IAC 5-2-11.7.

Affected Persons

This rule applies to a proposed new or increased loading of a regulated pollutant to a surface water of the state that will result from a deliberate activity subject to the Clean Water Act including a change in process or operation that will result in a significant lowering of water quality. The affected persons are those dischargers that have a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit and are proposing a new or increased loading of a regulated pollutant or those who will need a permit to cover a proposed new discharge. Based on 2009 NPDES permit submissions, up to 50 businesses and 30 municipalities each year may be required to determine if they need to perform an antidegradation demonstration.

Reason(s) for the Rule

The purpose of the antidegradation process is to preserve the existing quality of water that is cleaner than minimum standards. It does this by requiring an evaluation of alternatives before permitting new pollutant discharges above a de minimis level. If the new discharge will degrade the existing water quality, the state needs to determine if the social and economic benefits of the water degradation are justified.

Without this antidegradation rule, there is not a clear path to satisfy the federal and state statutory antidegradation requirements. This means that U.S. EPA and citizens may legally challenge the permits issued by IDEM, resulting in uncertainty for regulated entities. The draft rule will allow IDEM and the regulated community to clearly demonstrate that proposed discharges of regulated pollutants to surface waters of the state that are cleaner than the minimum standard will either maintain water quality in the current condition or that the social and economic benefit of the project outweigh maintaining the current water quality.

The Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1313(c)) under Section 303(c) specifies that a review of state water quality standards must be done at least every three years. Federal rules require states to develop, adopt, and retain a statewide antidegradation policy regarding water quality standards and establish procedures for its implementation. While federal rules require states to have a statewide antidegradation policy regarding water quality standards and establish procedures for its implementation, the federal rules do not specify how the states must implement antidegradation rules. Additional requirements affecting antidegradation rules

come from the Indiana General Assembly's requirements found in IC 13-18-3 enacted in the 2000 legislative session under Public Law 140-2000 (also known as SEA 431). The General Assembly adopted additional antidegradation requirements, in the 2009 regular session with the passage of Public Law 78-2009, which are made part of the draft rule.

The federal rules require states to have, at a minimum, three tiers of antidegradation. Tier 1 (40 CFR 131.12(a)(1)) protects existing uses by providing the absolute floor of water quality in all waters of the United States. Tier 2 (40 CFR 131.12(a)(2)) applies to waters whose quality exceeds that necessary to protect the Section 101(a)(2) goals of the Clean Water Act (criteria, 33 U.S.C. 1251(a)(2)). In this case, water quality may not be lowered to less than the level protect necessary to fully "fishable/swimmable" uses and other existing uses. Water quality in Tier 2 waters may only be lowered after a determination is made that allowing lowered water quality is necessary and will accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are located. Any such lowering must still assure water quality adequate to protect existing uses fully. Tier 3 (40 CFR 131.12(a)(3)) applies to outstanding national resource waters (ONRWs) where the ordinary use classifications and supporting criteria may not be sufficient or appropriate. States may allow some limited activities that result in temporary and short-term changes in water quality in the ONRW, but such changes in water quality should not impact existing uses or alter the essential character or special use that makes the water an ONRW. Currently, Indiana has no ONRWs.

Economic Impact of the Rule

The economic impact of the draft antidegradation rule is considered to be greater than \$500,000 on regulated entities though it is difficult to quantify since the impact is created by future actions that could be minimized or avoided or may fall under the rule's various exemptions for which an antidegradation demonstration would be limited in scope. Based upon the 80 permit applications received in 2009 that might be required to consider antidegradation, a consulting cost of

\$100 per hour, and IDEM's estimate that a complex antidegradation process would require 160 professional hours, the annual cost to the regulated community to implement the rule would be up to \$1,280,000. If the cost of professional services was \$300 per hour, this estimate would increase to \$3,840,000 per year. The complete fiscal impact analysis submitted to the Office of Management and Budget/State Budget Agency can be found at:

http://www.in.gov/idem/5387.htm

Benefits of the Rule

There are three main benefits of the proposed rule: (1) regulatory certainty; (2) preservation of the capacity of waters to accept new discharges of pollutants from future economic development projects or population growth; and (3) the health and environmental benefits of preserving existing water quality, including the protection of human health. Higher quality water provides better conditions for recreation, tourism, and hunting and fishing, all of which bring considerable income into the state. Drinking water treatment plants are benefitted when intake waters need less treatment to provide finished water to customers.

Description of the Rulemaking Project

An extensive public participation process was initiated in early 2008 and included representatives of the regulated community (industrial and municipal wastewater dischargers), environmental community, and IDEM. A large workgroup inclusive of all interested parties convened on April 29, 2008, to discuss the broad issues involved in this rulemaking. A second large workgroup meeting was held on June 25, 2008, and, at that meeting, the workgroup decided to select a smaller subgroup with chosen representatives from each of the interested sectors (environmental, municipal, and industrial communities) who would continue the rule development process with IDEM. The subgroup held meetings on nearly a monthly schedule from July 2008 through January 2009 and concluded with a final meeting on April 22, 2009. After the final subgroup meeting, IDEM took the collected information and finalized the developing draft rule, which was presented to the large

workgroup in an open meeting held on August 4, 2009, in Indianapolis. Through the late summer and early fall of 2009, IDEM held four public meetings outside of the Indianapolis area, including in the cities of Portage, Garrett, Seymour, and Vincennes.

The draft rule was posted in the Indiana Register on December 16, 2009. Extensive public comments were received and considered carefully by IDEM. The draft rule was modified based on IDEM's review of the comments, and the modified draft rule was made available to stakeholders on May 9, 2011.

For complete information on the workgroup and subgroup activities, please go to:

http://www.in.gov/idem/5387.htm

Scheduled Hearings

First Public Hearing: July 27, 2011, at the WPCB meeting held at Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Second Public Hearing: September 14, 2011, at the WPCB meeting held at Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Consideration of Factors Outlined in Indiana Code 13-14-8-4

Indiana Code 13-14-8-4 requires that in adopting rules and establishing standards, the board shall take into account the following:

- 1) All existing physical conditions and the character of the area affected.
- 2) Past, present, and probable future uses of the area, including the character of the uses of surrounding areas.
 - 3) Zoning classifications.
- 4) The nature of the existing air quality or existing water quality, as appropriate.
- 5) Technical feasibility, including the quality conditions that could reasonably be achieved through coordinated control of all factors affecting the quality.
- 6) Economic reasonableness of measuring or reducing any particular type of pollution.
- (7) The right of all persons to an environment sufficiently uncontaminated as not to be injurious to:
 - (A) human, plant, animal, or aquatic life; or

(B) the reasonable enjoyment of life and property.

Consistency with Federal Requirements

The applicable federal law is the Clean Water Act. Adoption of this rule will bring Indiana into compliance with federal requirements to have statewide antidegradation standards and implementation procedures. The water quality program in Indiana, including the NPDES program, is delegated to the state by the federal government; therefore, this antidegradation rule will be required to be reviewed and approved by the U.S. EPA after it is adopted by the board and promulgated at the state level.

Rulemaking Process

The first step in the rulemaking process is a first notice published in the Indiana Register. This includes a discussion of issues and opens a first comment period. The second notice is then published which contains the comments and the department's responses to comments from the first comment period and the draft rule.

Notice of the first hearing on the rule is also published in the Indiana Register. The Water Pollution Control Board holds the first meeting/hearing and public comments are heard. The proposed rule, also known as the draft rule as preliminarily adopted, is published in the Indiana Register after preliminary adoption. If the proposed rule is substantively different from the draft rule, a third written comment period is required. After notice in the Indiana Register, the second public meeting/hearing is held and public comments are heard. Once final adoption occurs, the rule becomes effective 30 days after filing with the Legislative Services Agency.

IDEM Contact

Additional information regarding this rulemaking action can be obtained from MaryAnn Stevens, Rules Development Branch, Office of Legal Counsel, (317) 232-8635 or technical information about the rule can be obtained from Martha Clark Mettler, Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Office of Water Quality (317) 232-8402 or (800) 451-6027 (in Indiana).